

REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Title of Publication: t3 3rerun movie

Other Known Title: t3 3rerun

Format: Computer Moving Image File

Director/Author: Not Applicable

Producer/Publisher: Not Applicable

Country of Origin: New Zealand

Language: English, unidentified Asian language

Applicant: Comptroller of Customs

Distributor: Not Applicable

Classification:	Objectionable except if the availability of the publication is restricted to persons who have attained the age of 18 years.
------------------------	---

Descriptive Note: Contains explicit sex scenes.

Display Conditions: Nil

Date of entry in Register: 11 December 2007

Date of direction to issue a label: Not Applicable

OFLC No: 702476

Unexcised version of publication:

	Components	Running time
Feature:	t3 3rerun movie	13.50
Total running time:		13.50

Excisions: No Excisions Recommended

Reasons for excisions:

Not Applicable

**Summary of reasons
for classification:**

The computer moving image file *t3 3rerun movie* is classified as:
Objectionable except if the availability of the publication is restricted to persons who have attained the age of 18 years.

The computer moving image file contains a short video clip of amateur origin, explicitly portraying sexual activities between a European man and an Asian woman who is probably a prostitute. Because the woman appears youthful, the publication raises the issue of the sexual exploitation of young persons, and has therefore been considered under s3(2)(a) of the FVPC Act. However, the material does not fulfil the criteria of this section of the Act. There is a likelihood of injury to the public good if the publication is made available to children and young persons, who do not have the experience or maturity to deal with explicit images of adult sexual activity. The injury lies in the potential for the material to disturb or shock young viewers and to have a harmful effect on the development of sexual behaviour and attitudes. However, the likelihood of injury is avoided by restricting the availability of the publications to adults.

The right to freedom of expression has been weighed against the likelihood of injury to the public good if the computer image file is made available. Restriction to an adult audience is reasonable, demonstrably justifiable and the minimum required to prevent injury.